



**Gwasanaeth Democraidd**  
**Democratic Service**  
Swyddfa'r Cyngor  
CAERNARFON  
Gwynedd  
LL55 1SH

Cyfarfod / Meeting

**PWYLLGOR TRWYDDEDU CANOLOG**  
**CENTRAL LICENSING COMMITTEE**

Dyddiad ac Amser / Date and Time

**DYDD LLUN, 24 MEHEFIN 2013 (AR DERFYN Y PWYLLGOR TRWYDDEDU**  
**CYFFREDINOL)**  
**MONDAY, 24 JUNE 2013 (AT THE END OF THE GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE)**

Lleoliad / Location

**SIAMBR DAFYDD ORWIG,**  
**SWYDDFEYDD Y CYNGOR,**  
**CAERNARFON**

Pwynt Cyswllt / Contact Point

**GWYN PARRY WILLIAMS**

**(01286) 679665**

[gwynparrywilliams@gwynedd.gov.uk](mailto:gwynparrywilliams@gwynedd.gov.uk)

Dosbarthwyd / Distributed 14.06.13

**PWYLLGOR TRWYDDEDU CANOLOG**

**CENTRAL LICENSING COMMITTEE**

**Aelodaeth/Membership (15)**

**Plaid Cymru (7)**

Y Cynghorwyr/Councillors

Eddie Dogan      Huw Edwards

Annwen Hughes      Chris Hughes

W. Tudor Owen      Ann Williams

Gethin G. Williams

**Annibynnol/Independent (4)**

Y Cynghorwyr/Councillors

Eryl Jones-Williams      Christopher O'Neal

Angela Russell      Elfed Williams

**Llais Gwynedd (4)**

Y Cynghorwyr/Councillors

John Brynmor Hughes      Llywarch Bowen Jones

Peter Read      Gruffydd Williams

**Aelod Ex-officio/Ex-officio Member**

Is-gadeirydd y Cyngor/Vice-chairman of the Council

# AGENDA

**1. CHAIRMAN**

To elect a Chairman for 2013/14

**2. VICE-CHAIRMAN**

To elect a Vice-chairman for 2013/14

**3. APOLOGIES**

To receive any apologies for absence.

**4. DECLARATION OF PERSONAL CONNECTION**

To receive any declaration of personal interest.

**5. URGENT ITEMS**

To note any items that are a matter of urgency in the view of the Chairman for consideration.

**6. MINUTES**

The Chairman shall propose that the minutes of the meeting of this Committee, held on 4 March 2013, be signed as a true record.

(copy herewith – **white** enclosure)

**7. LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE MINUTES**

To submit, for information, minutes of the Licensing Sub-committee meetings held on the following dates:-

(a) 22 February 2013

(b) 8 March 2013

(c) 27 March 2013

(d) 22 April 2013

(copy herewith – **green** enclosures)

**8. PROPOSED FEES AND CHARGES 2013/14 – PUBLIC PROTECTION DEPARTMENT**

To submit the report of the Head of Regulatory Department

(copy herewith – **gold** paper)

---

## CENTRAL LICENSING COMMITTEE, 04.03.13

---

**Present:** Councillor W. Tudor Owen (Chairman)

Councillors: Eddie Dogan, Annwen Hughes, John Brynmor Hughes, Llywarch Bowen Jones, Eryl Jones-Williams, Christopher O'Neal, Peter Read, Angela Russell, Ann Williams, Elfed W. Williams

**Also Present:** Siôn Huws (Compliance and Language Manager), Gwenan M. Williams (Licensing Manager) and Gwyn Parry Williams (Member Support and Scrutiny Officer).

**Apologies:** Councillors Huw Edwards, Chris Hughes, Gethin G. Williams, Gruffydd Williams

Chairman's Announcements:

- a) He wished Amlyn ab Iorwerth, the former Licensing Manager, a speedy recovery following his recent illness.
- b) Alwyn Thomas, Licensing Enforcement Manager, was also wished well on his retirement at the end of the month.

### 1. DECLARATION OF PERSONAL INTEREST

No declarations of personal interest were received from any members present.

### 2. URGENT BUSINESS

A member referred to an incident in Pwllheli in relation to the alcohol test purchase campaign. It was noted that a young person, accompanied by a Police Community Support Officer, had visited the town's public houses in order to test whether licence holders in licensed premises ensured that staff took appropriate steps to check a young person's age, prior to serving them alcohol. It was noted that the test purchases had been conducted using a local young person, who was under the age of eighteen. When the young person attempted to purchase alcohol in a public house in Pwllheli, the licence holder did not question the action, although he was aware that the person was possibly under eighteen, as a police officer was present. No request was made for an identity card as proof of age. The licence holder felt that the circumstances of the purchase test were unfair, as the young person was local and in the presence of a police officer.

In response, the Licensing Manager stated that there were aspects of the purchase test process that needed consideration, one of which was the health and safety of the young person. In relation to proof of age, the licence holder was responsible for requesting to see the young person's identity card irrespective of the circumstances.

**RESOLVED to draw the matter to the attention of the police.**

**3. MINUTES**

The Chairman signed the minutes of the previous meeting of this committee held on 10 December 2012, as a true record.

**4. MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEES**

Submitted - for information, the minutes of meetings of the Central Licensing Sub-committee held on 29 November and 12 December 2012.

**Matters Arising – Members' attendance at the Licensing Sub-committees**

The Chair referred to the difficulties encountered in the sub-committee held on 22 February 2013 as one member had not been present. Due to this, another member had to fetch a substitute member which caused a considerable delay before starting the meeting. In future, it was suggested that it could be useful for a substitute member to be present at the meeting, in case such a situation arose again. However, the view was expressed that the current arrangements had worked well for years and that this type of situation was exceptional.

The Compliance and Language Manager responded by stating that having a substitute member present at the meeting would be useful, especially if a member was unable to participate at short-notice e.g. due to illness or if he or she realised during the meeting that they had an interest that could prejudice the application in question.

**Local Members' Observations:**

A member referred to cases where the local member had not submitted written observations on a licence application, but after receiving the sub-committee agenda, had attended the meeting under the assumption that he or she had the right to present their observations verbally.

In response, the Licensing Manager suggested that it might be possible to review the arrangements to remind local members. It was noted that when an application for a licence was received, the Licensing Department invited local members to submit relevant observations.

**RESOLVED to accept the information.**

**5. LIVE MUSIC ACT 2012**

Submitted – the Head of Regulatory Department's report on the Live Music Act 2012.

The Licensing Manager reported that the above-named act came into force on 1 October 2012 and consequently amended the Licensing Act 2003 by

partly deregulating the performance of live music and deleting the need to license the provision of facilities for entertainment.

She summarised the main changes as follows –

- Live music without an amplifier would not need to be licensed between 8am and 11pm anywhere.
- Live music with an amplifier would not need to be licensed between 8am and 11pm in premises that had been licensed to sell alcohol for an audience of no more than 200 people.
- Live music with an amplifier would not need to be licensed between 8am and 11pm for an audience of no more than 200 people in workplaces.
- The need to license the provision of facilities for entertainment would be abolished.
- The exemption to permit live music would be extended when it was part of a performance of a traditional dance; so that the exemption would also include music with an amplifier and recorded music.

She noted that live music (including music with an amplifier and recorded music) would continue to be licensed under various circumstances, of which she gave further details to the committee.

In relation to protecting residents from the negative effects of live music, she noted that conditions could be imposed in relation to live music even between 8.00 and 23.00 in a licensed premises that was subject to a review due to complaints regarding noise. Also, if a current premises licence did not permit live music it would be possible to add conditions to the licence that would have the same impact if the live music in the premises were to continue to be a regulated entertainment, even between 8.00 and 23.00.

The Licensing Authority could also decide that live music in the premises was a licensed activity; and that live music could not be permitted without permission on the premises licence or through a Temporary Event Notice.

The legislation regarding managing noise nuisance - namely the Environment Protection Act 1990 would continue to be relevant in situations where there was evidence that noise was a statutory nuisance. The Live Music Act 2012 was not intended to permit licensed premises to create noise nuisance.

Following the consultation of the Government's Department of Culture, Media and Sport in 2011, the Government intended to submit further measures to deregulate entertainment, commencing in April this year. There was an intention to deregulate the following –

- Dance and drama performances for audiences of up to 500 people between 8.00 and 23.00.
- Indoor sports for audiences of up to 1,000 people between 8.00 and 23.00.
- Live music in licensed premises and workplaces for audiences of between 200 and 500 people.
- Recorded music to be treated in the same way as live music in licensed premises between 8.00 and 23.00.

- Performances of films, dramas, indoor sports, live music and recorded music in premises owned by the Local Government, hospitals, nurseries and schools (with the exception of higher education establishments) with no restriction on audience numbers between 8.00 and 23.00.
- Exclude the establishments noted above from licensing requirements in relation to live and recorded music for audiences of up to 500 people.
- Exclude community premises such as village and church halls and community centres from the licensing requirements for live and recorded music for audiences of up to 500 people.
- Circuses would be excluded for performances of live and recorded music, drama, dance and indoor sport performances between 8.00 and 23.00, with no restriction on audience numbers.

**RESOLVED to accept the report.**

The meeting commenced at 10:00a.m. and concluded at 10.40a.m.

---

## CENTRAL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE, 22.02.13

---

**Present:** Councillor W.Tudor Owen (Chairman)

Councillors Ann Williams, Elfed Williams (for application number 1) and Councillor Huw Edwards for applications numbers 2 and 3).

**Also present:** Geraint B. Edwards (Solicitor), Gwenan M. Williams (Licensing Manager) and Gwyn Parry Williams (Member Support and Scrutiny Officer).

### 1. APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE – LIFESTYLE EXPRESS, 21 Ffordd ELIDIR, MAESINCLA, CAERNARFON

#### Others invited to the Meeting:

**Representing Lifestyle Express, 21 Ffordd Elidir, Maesincla, Caernarfon:** Messrs Raja Shajanur (Licensee), S. Ahmed, Shadek Ali.

**Representing the Police:** Sergeant Bill Coppack and Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police)

**Representing the objectors:** Mr John M. Evans and Mrs Roma D. Evans

**Representing Caernarfon Town Council:** Councillor Hywel Roberts

**Local Member:** Councillor Huw Edwards

Submitted – the report of the Licensing Manager providing details of an application on behalf of Lifestyle Express, 21 Ffordd Elidir, Maesincla, Caernarfon for a premises licence to sell alcohol to be consumed off the premises between 07.00 and 21.00 from Monday to Saturday and between 09.00 and 20.00 on Sunday. The proposed opening hours of the business complied with the hours for the sale of alcohol.

She noted that the Police had discussed the application with the applicant at the beginning of the consultation period when the applicant's agreement had been sought to accept the conditions on the licence in relation to the installation and use of a CCTV system. Otherwise, the Police had no objections to the application.

Following the appropriate consultation period, it was reported (together with the responses that had been received) that the Police and the Fire and Rescue Service had no objection to the application. The local member and Caernarfon Town Council objected to the application and objections had also been received from some of the nearby residents. In addition, a petition had been received with the names and addresses of six neighbouring residents objecting to the application. In accordance with the relevant guidelines of the Licensing Act 2003 a petition could not be considered as a valid response to an application for a licence.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i. The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the applicant



- iii. The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations
- iv. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee
- vii. The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

In support of the application, the applicant's representative noted that he needed the licence to sell alcohol as it would be a way of creating work in the area. He owned two other similar shops, employing local people and had the experience to sell alcohol. There was no other convenience store in the area and consequently, people had to travel into the town to buy alcohol etc. He was satisfied with the conditions recommended by the police in relation to the installation and use of a CCTV system.

The consultee had been invited to support any observations submitted by letter and Ian Williams, Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police, reported that there was no evidence to object to the application. A discussion was held with the licence holder and in terms of the hours requested, he was of the opinion that they were not unreasonable but that a condition involving CCTV needed to be included on the licence.

In response to a question from a member regarding antisocial behaviour in the Maesincla area, Sergeant Bill Coppack noted that there had been difficulties with children under 18 years old, but at the moment it was difficult to prove whether or not selling alcohol in the shop would be likely to add to this problem.

All the objectors present took advantage of the opportunity to endorse the observations noted in letters and specifically referred to the following points –

- That the main objection was to the intention of selling alcohol but there was no objection to the shop itself. They lived above the shop and had endured people misusing alcohol in the area for years.
- Approving the application would add to the antisocial behaviour in the area.
- That problems existed with children under the age of 18 drinking late at night, with the situation at its worst on weekends and mainly after midnight.
- That there were problems with litter and especially with drink cans being discarded in the gardens.

The representative for Caernarfon Town Council noted that the Council objected to the application because of the public nuisance and antisocial behaviour that would be created. The local residents were very concerned about the implications of the application. He referred to the campaign to install a CCTV system in the area which proved that there was a local concern about the situation.

The local member noted that he objected to the application on the grounds of antisocial behaviour and the risk of affecting the local residents. He noted that this area was relatively quiet which included a council housing estate and a home for the elderly and approving the application would be likely to have an effect on the amenities of residents.

In response to a question by a member, the representative on behalf of the licence holder noted that he was willing to reduce the hours to sell alcohol, namely from 9.00 onwards and that there was no intention to open the shop on Christmas day.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

The members were of the opinion that there was insufficient evidence of problems that were relevant to the licensing objectives and which were directly relevant to the premises so that it would be possible to justify disallowing the requested licence. It was noted that the applicant had agreed to the conditions of the police regarding CCTV and that they were willing to amend the hours to sell alcohol. Following the consideration of all the concerns of the residents and the observations of the police, it was decided to approve the application with the conditions noted below.

**RESOLVED to approve a premises licence for Lifestyle Express, 21 Ffordd Elidir, Caernarfon as follows –**

- a) To permit the supply of alcohol under paragraph J between 9.00 and 21.00, Mondays to Saturdays and between 9.00 and 20.00 on Sundays.**
- b) To permit the premises to be open to the public under paragraph L between 7.00 and 21.00, Mondays to Saturdays and between 9.00 and 20.00 on Sundays.**
- c) That the steps described in paragraph M of the application are to be included as conditions of the licence.**
- ch) That a digital CCTV system will be installed and will work to such a standard that satisfies the Police and Local Authority and they would be monitoring the location of the alcohol display and the public entrances in and out of the premises.**
- d) Lighting in the location must be of sufficient brightness and quality to identify persons within the premises on the CCTV system.**
- dd) The CCTV system will record and retain images of all times when members of the public are on the premises, for a minimum of 31 days.**
- e) Images will be surrendered on request to the police or local authority at the time the request is made and the premises will ensure that it has the appropriate software available to comply with this condition. If they are unable to comply with this condition the persons responsible for the premises must be aware of the possibility of having the premises licence reviewed.**
- f) There must be a minimum of one trained member of staff available to download evidence at the request of the police or an authorised officer when the premises are open.**
- ff) That CCTV warning signs shall be fitted in public areas of the premises.**
- g) That recordings of incidents must be kept secure for inspection by the police.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

## **2. APPLICATION TO REVIEW PREMISES LICENCE – STAR KEBAB, 323 HIGH STREET, BANGOR**

### **Others invited to the Meeting:**

**Applicant:** Sergeant Bill Coppack (North Wales Police)

**Others representing the Police:** Inspector Mal Roberts and Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police)

**Representing Star Kebab, 323 High Street, Bangor:** Mr Mhemet Kabadayi (Licence holder), Mr Euren Karapinaz and Mr David Farley (Solicitor)

**Local Member:** Councillor Gwynfor Edwards

Submitted – the report of the Licensing Manager providing details of the application from North Wales Police to review the premises licence of Star Kebab, 323 High Street, Bangor due to the continued problems mainly involving crime and disorder on the premises and consistent breach of conditions in particular in relation to the closing hours on the premises' late night refreshment licence.

He noted that the premises had already been subject to a review by the Police in November 2011; and the sub-committee resolved to approve a review of the licence on grounds of evidence submitted by the Police on 12 January 2012. In January 2012, the sub-committee had decided to reduce the opening hours and licensed activity hours as follows –

- a) Sunday/Thursday – 23.00 until 02.00
- b) Friday/Saturday – 23.00 until 02.30

In addition to the above, the conditions in relation to maintaining a CCTV system on the premises were changed and conditions were added in relation to the presence of door supervisors and a door supervisors' register. The licence holder had appealed against the decision of the sub-committee which meant that it was not possible to implement the new hours until the court's decision on the appeal case was known. On the day of the appeal case on 28 August 2012 the court had been notified that the licence holder was withdrawing his appeal which meant that the sub-committee's decision became effective immediately.

On 15 and 16 September 2012; the Police had witnessed the premises being open beyond their licensed hours and the offence of a breach of licence conditions had been reported by the Police in accordance with the requirements of section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003. The licence holder had appeared at Caernarfon Magistrates' Court on 19 November and he had pleaded guilty to the offences.

The Police's application expanded upon the specific offences which were the basis of the evidence for this review. There were now 22 incidents of violence and disorder linked to this premises. It was noted that the premises was also responsible for over 80% of the violent incidents at late night refreshment premises in Bangor. Some of the violence and disorder incidents took place during a weekend in December 2012. At the time, the licence holder had been acting in accordance with an extension to opening hours that had been approved through Temporary Notices by the sub-committee on 29 November 2012. In addition, the Police submitted evidence of non-compliance with the licence conditions of providing door supervisors after 23.00 on Thursdays to Sundays and keeping a register of door supervisors. Incidents of non-compliance with these conditions were noted.

He noted that the Police were of the opinion that the sub-committee should use the powers authorised to it to suspend the premises licence for a period of three months, or any period considered appropriate by the sub-committee. A specific suspension would give the licence holder an opportunity to put steps in place to resolve the lack of control that had been observed by the Police on the premises.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i) The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii) Members of the sub-committee were invited to ask questions of the applicant.
- iii) The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations.
- iv) Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee.
- v) The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

Representing the Police, Sergeant Bill Coppack provided details of incidents that had taken place at the premises since the premises licence was reviewed in January 2012. In one common assault, a customer had been sitting on the doorstep of the premises when another customer exiting the premises struck her to the side of the head causing soreness and bruising. The incident had been discussed with premises staff and it had been found that they had no CCTV footage of the incident. He referred to another incident on 20 April 2012 when a young man had head butted a girl inside the premises causing serious injury to her nose. The Police had been called to the premises and requested CCTV footage of the incident but staff failed to complete the request until the premises was closed as they were too busy. When the request had been made, there were three customers in the shop with three staff members working at the time. As this had been a Friday, the shop would not have had to close until 03.00, which was approximately an hour and 50 minutes later. On the same day, Council CCTV had seen a young man urinating near the door of the premises. In another incident on 16 December 2012, a young man had turned on door staff after being ejected from the shop and trying to throw punches. He had been arrested as a second incident of disorder was taking place. On 22 December 2012, a minor incident and disorder had taken place at the premises with two young men issued with section 27 notices. On the following day, there had been disorder inside and outside the premises with two young men fighting and being arrested.

The sergeant noted that temporary event notices had been granted in the premises on weekends in December 2012 and that three of the above incidents had taken place during those notice periods. He drew attention to the fact that the Police had objected to these notices as they were concerned that disorder would inevitably occur.

On 28 August 2012, an additional condition came into force which required the premises to employ door staff from 23.00 until the shop closed on Thursdays, Fridays and Saturdays and on Sundays preceding Bank Holiday Mondays. The premises had also been required to keep a register of door staff who were employed which included their time starting and finishing work together with their SIA badge number. From this date, the premises had not complied with this condition. It could be seen from the register itself that a number of weekends were left blank and it had been evident on those that had a record that door staff had come on duty long after 23.00.

He reminded the members of the following conditions –

- a) That a sufficient number of suitable receptacles for refuse storage were provided that had fitted covers and were maintained in a clean condition.
- b) That nearby streets were monitored after 23.00 to ensure that customers did not litter the streets and/or residents' property, or caused a nuisance to residents.
- c) That customers were prevented from eating and drinking immediately outside the premises after 23.00.
- ch) That any queues inside or outside the premises would be monitored by a member of staff in order to ensure compliance with the licensing objectives.

By means of a DVD, the sergeant referred to different incidents that had taken place at the premises over a period of time.

It was noted that the premises was one of eight similar sized premises in Bangor licensed for the supply of late night refreshments. There were now 22 incidents of violence and disorder associated with this premises and it was responsible for 80% of violent incidents at late night refreshments premises in Bangor.

In response to a question by a member in relation to incidents during the first part of this year, the sergeant noted that an incident had taken place outside the premises on 13 January when a young man had been arrested.

In response to some of the above points, the solicitor on behalf of the licensee noted the following observations –

- That alcohol was not sold on the premises, therefore there were no incidents of drunkenness associated with the premises.
- That he received information about some of the public houses/clubs in the city that sold cheap alcohol in order to promote their businesses and that some of those visiting those establishments then came to the premises in question and caused trouble.
- That door staff employed at the premises came from a responsible company.
- That some of the conditions agreed by the Licensing Sub-committee on 12 January 2012 was that the premises installed and maintained a digital CCTV system; employed an SIA-registered door supervisor and maintained a register of all door supervisors employed to work at the premises. He was of the opinion that the licensee had complied with those conditions. An appeal had been lodged against the decision, but in August 2012 the appeal was subsequently withdrawn.
- That the licensee had been running the business for around 14 years and was an experienced person and able to deal with difficult situations. That suspending the licence for a period was likely to affect his business.
- That the licensee had no intention of causing any nuisance inside or outside the premises.
- That people convened outside the premises to eat food or wait for a taxi and that this created problems at times.
- That it was intended to submit an application to vary the licence so that the premises would be allowed to open for an additional hour.
- Although there had been three incidents during a weekend in December 2012 when extended hours had been approved through Temporary Event Notices, the nature of these were relatively small.

The local member noted that residents lived near the premises and that he noticed the aggressive mood surrounding the premises on one night in December 2012. He also drew attention to food waste and litter that were left outside the premises. He supported the police's application.

When summarising, Sergeant Coppack noted further that the licensee should pay more attention to incidents of crime and disorder. When Temporary Event Notices had been granted in December 2012, the conditions were breached on several occasions. He was of the opinion that perhaps suspending the licence for three months would be excessive and that suspending the licence for a fortnight or more would be more acceptable, and that a control and safety policy, agreed by both Police and local authority, should be in place when the premises would reopen.

When summarising, the licensee's solicitor noted that suspending the licence would have an impact on the continuation of the business. He recognised that action should be taken to ensure that too many people did not convene outside the premises.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

Having considered all the evidence submitted, the members were of the opinion that the incidents in 2012 in terms of their number, frequency and nature were not sufficiently serious to undermine the licensing objectives. When coming to this conclusion, particular consideration was given to the following matters –

- a) Since the Central Licensing Sub-committee meeting in January 2012, only five incidents of fighting or disorder had taken place and three of these occurred during the busy Christmas period and they did not form a general and regular pattern.
- b) The circumstances behind the premises opening beyond the licensed hours on 15 and 16 September 2012.
- c) No direct complaints had been received from local residents.
- ch) That suspending the licence was not considered as a reasonable response to the incidents that had taken place in 2012 and there was a doubt regarding what suspending the licence would achieve.
- d) That the Police had confused breaking the law on one or two occasions with undermining the licensing objectives. It did not follow that one always led to the other.
- dd) That the Police and the licensee were encouraged to hold a dialogue together on this matter in order to resolve any problems, especially in relation to the crowds convening outside the premises.

**RESOLVED to refuse the application for a review.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

**3. APPLICATION TO VARY PREMISES LICENCE – CADWALADER'S ICE CREAM CAFÉ, CASTLE STREET, CRICCIETH**

**Others invited to the Meeting:**

**Representing Cadwalader's Ice Cream Café, Castle Street, Criccieth:** Ms Jenny Fry (Licensing Consultant acting on behalf of the company)

**Representing the objectors:** Mr Peter Harlech Jones

Submitted – the report of the Licensing Manager providing details of an application on behalf of Cadwalader's Ice Cream Café, Castle Street, Criccieth to vary the premises licence to allow for the sale of alcohol on the premises between 10.00 and 21.30, seven days a week. There is no application to change the opening hours of the premises to the public; namely between 10:00 and 22:00 daily. It was noted in the application that the premises generally trades as a café/coffee shop and that the intention to sell alcohol was ancillary only to the main use, namely

the sale of food and drink, and that it was intended to offer coffee or desserts which contained liqueur, spirits, wine and specialist beer.

It was reported that, following the appropriate consultation period, that one letter had been received from a nearby resident objecting to the application. There was no objection to the application by the local member and the Public Protection Unit did not have any observations.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i. Members of the Sub-committee and the applicant were given an opportunity to ask questions of the Licensing Manager.
- ii. The applicant was invited to expand on the application.
- iii. Consultees were given an opportunity to support their observations.
- iv. The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations.
- v. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee.
- vi. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the consultees.
- vii. The applicant or his representative was given an opportunity to summarise their case.

The licensing consultant, acting on behalf of the company, noted that jazz evenings had been held at the premises since 2009 on Thursday nights, between 19.00 and 21.00. It attempted to extend menu options by offering Welsh beers etc. to accompany food. There was a good relationship between them and the local residents and they were attracting a lot of customers. During the busiest period of the summer season, the premises was open until 22.00 and closed at 16.00 or 18.00 at other times of the year. She recognised that parking was a problem outside the premises, especially between Easter and the Autumn when parking orders would be in force. She confirmed that it was not intended to convert the premises into a licensed bar.

The local resident who was present took the opportunity to endorse the observations noted by letter and specifically referred to the following points –

- That he lived next door to the premises and that approving the application would add to the existing problems regarding noise and vehicles parking outside the entrance to his house and have an effect on the amenities of neighbouring residents. He noted that the company's vans parked outside his house at times and created difficulties for him.
- That litter and cigarette ends were being discarded in his front garden.
- That children and sometimes adults were trespassing along the alleyway between his property and the premises in question, and used indecent language at times.
- If the premises was allowed to sell alcohol, the clientele would change and it could also influence young people that would attend the premises.
- That plenty of licensed premises already existed in the town and that approving this application would change the nature of this part of the town.

In response, the licensing consultant, acting on behalf of the company, noted that they owned the alleyway between the premises and the objector's house, but arrangements could be made to close it.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

The members did not accept that the premises currently operated in a way that undermined the licensing objectives or accepted that granting a licence would be likely to undermine those objectives. When coming to these conclusions, particular consideration was given to the following matters –

- a) No details regarding specific incidents that could be attributed to the premises as detrimental to the licensing objectives had been received.
- b) Bearing in mind the nature of the premises and the potential clientele, it was unlikely that granting a licence would undermine the licensing objectives.
- c) Only one objection had been received and no application for a review in relation to the premises had been submitted.

**RESOLVED to approve the variation to the licence of Cadwalader's Ice Cream Café, Castle Street, Criccieth as follows –**

**a) To permit the sale of alcohol under paragraph J between 10.00 and 21.30, Monday to Sunday.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

The meeting commenced at 12.30pm and concluded at 5.50pm.



---

## CENTRAL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE, 08.03.13

---

**Present:** Councillor Eryl Jones-Williams (Chairman)  
Councillors Annwen Hughes and Christopher O'Neal

**Also present:** Geraint B. Edwards (Solicitor), Gwenan M. Williams (Licensing Manager), Sheryl Le Bon Jones (Operational Systems Manager – Public Protection Service), Alwyn Thomas and Robert Taylor (Licensing Enforcement Officers) and Gwyn Parry Williams (Member Support and Scrutiny Officer).

### Retirement

The Chairman referred to the fact that Alwyn Thomas, Licensing Enforcement Officer, was retiring at the end of this month, and he wished him a happy retirement.

### **1. APPLICATION TO REVIEW A PREMISES LICENSE – ATRIUM ALFRESCO, 4 TEGID STREET, BALA**

#### **Others invited to the Meeting:**

**Applicant:** Ms Sheryl Le Bon Jones (Operational Systems Manager – Public Protection Service)

**Representing the Applicant:** Ms Gwenan Williams (Licensing Manager) and Messrs Alwyn Thomas and Robert Taylor (Licensing Enforcement Officers)

**Representing the Police:** Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police)

**Representing Atrium Alfresco, 4 Tegid Street, Bala:** Mr Maseoud Anafcheh (Licensee) and Ms Moira Mai.

**Representing the objectors:** Mr Paul Grainge and Mrs Wendy Grainge

**Apology:** Councillor Gethin G. Williams

Submitted - the report of the Operational Systems Manager – Public Protection Service on an application received by the Council's Enforcement Officer to review the premises licence of Atrium Alfresco, 4 Tegid Street, Bala. This application was made by the licensing authority acting as the responsible authority under the Licensing Act 2003 and it related to the licensing objectives, namely the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety and the prevention of public nuisance. It was noted that the grounds for review were -

- a) Carrying on licensable activities at times not in accordance with their licence.
- b) Failing to comply with conditions set by the Central Licensing Sub-Committee.

The members' attention was drawn to the original premises licence following a hearing of the Central Licensing Sub-committee on 10 November 2011. During the hearing, the times for the licensable activities and opening hours of the premises had been determined and a condition was placed on the licence for a CCTV system to be installed inside and outside the premises. She noted that witness statements provided by the applicant had stated that as a result of responding to complaints regarding noise and anti social behaviour on Tegid Street, it was found that the premises had been carrying on licensable activities outside their permitted hours on two occasions. In addition, as a result of an inspection of the premises with the licensee, the

premises was found to be without a CCTV system and Part B of their premises licence had not been on display in accordance with the requirements of the Licensing Act 2003.

Observations on the review were received from the police and two neighbouring residents. The police confirmed that they had received a number of complaints by neighbouring residents regarding the behaviour of customers outside the premises and that it was open at 03.00 and 04.00. Both residents had also complained about the noise and crime and disorder in Tegid Street during the early hours of the morning and requested that the supply of alcohol hours at this premises be reduced along with other similar establishments in the town.

She noted that the Licensing Enforcement Officer suggested reducing the hours for sales of late night refreshments and supply of alcohol in the premises to 00.00 and reducing the opening hours to 00.15 every week day. Since submitting the application for a review it was understood that the premises had closed but the licence had not been surrendered and therefore the licence continued to be live.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i) The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii) Members of the sub-committee were invited to ask questions of the applicant.
- iii) The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations.
- iv) Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee.
- v) The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

-

The Licensing Enforcement Officer submitted a witness statement that referred to different incidents involving the premises. Reference was made specifically to the following matters-

- Information had been received by North Wales Police regarding a complaint in relation to the fact that the premises had been open until 02.00 and later, causing noise and disturbance for the street's residents. Their premises file was inspected, and it was noticed that the hours permitted were, the sale of hot food and alcoholic beverages until 01.00 and the public opening hours until 1.30 (clearing time).
- On 9 June 2012 at 01.00 it had been noticed that the lights had been switched off in the premises but that the "Open" sign outside continued to be lit. Then at 01.40, namely after the closing time, a young person had entered the shop and had come out carrying a pizza in a box.
- On 21 June 2012, at 01.00 it had been noticed that the premises' lights and signs had been switched off and no customers had entered the shop.
- On 28 July 2012, at 01.00 it had been noticed that the premises' lights and signs continued to be on and at 01.30 a girl had entered the shop, and later left carrying a pizza in a box. At 01.40 two men had left the shop, again carrying a pizza box and at 01.50 the lights and signs were switched off. At 02.13, two other men left the shop carrying a pizza box.
- That the owner of Antium Alfresco also owned Y Cwrt next door and at 02.25 on 28 July 2012 a member of staff had been seen standing in the doorway of Y Cwrt holding two pizza boxes.

The local residents who were present took the opportunity to endorse the observations noted by letter and specifically referred to the following points –

- Problems had occurred with noise outside the premises that had an impact on their amenities, but since the premises had closed, this problem had stopped but there was still noise outside the Cwrt building.
- There was a problem in controlling customers on the street.
- Rubbish and broken bottles were left on the street and on the window sills of the houses.

In response to the above, the licensee noted the following observations –

- The business had been closed down at the end of November 2012 and the premises was now for sale.
- He did not accept that the noise in Tegid Street stemmed from his shop as there were other licensable premises in the town.
- He acknowledged that he did not comply with the licence conditions, and after receiving a reprimand for this he had stopped selling food late at night and as a result of this the noise and disorder had reduced on the street.

Mr Ian Williams, the Police Licensing Co-ordinator noted that no complaints had been received since the premises had closed.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

In light of the evidence submitted, the members agreed with the review. In relation to the CCTV there was a current breach of condition and may be subject to a further review or criminal proceedings. There was also a need to ask the licensee to surrender his licence.

**RESOLVED to partly approve the application to review as follows:**

**1. To amend the premises licence as follows-**

- a) Provision of late night refreshments between 23.00 and 00.00, Sunday to Saturday**
- b) Supply of alcohol on the premises between 12.00 and 00.00, Sunday to Saturday**
- c) Opening hours of the premises between 12.00 and 00.15, Sunday to Saturday**

**2. Not to make amendments to the licence in relation to the CCTV system as there is a current breach of conditions which may be subject to further review or criminal proceedings.**

**3. To invite the licensee to surrender the licence as the premises is now closed.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

**2. APPLICATION TO REVIEW A PREMISES LICENCE – Y CWRT, 62 HIGH STREET, BALA**

**Others invited to the Meeting:**

**Applicant:** Ms Sheryl Le Bon Jones (Operational Systems Manager – Public Protection Service)

**Representing the Applicant:** Ms Gwenan Williams (Licensing Manager) and Messrs Alwyn Thomas and Robert Taylor (Licensing Enforcement Officers)

**Representing the Police:** Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police)

**Representing Y Cwrt, 62 High Street, Bala:** Mr Maseoud Anafcheh (Licensee) and Ms Moira Mai.

**Representing the objectors:** Mr Paul Grainge and Mrs Wendy Grainge

**Apology:** Councillor Gethin G. Williams

Submitted - the report of the Operational Systems Manager – Public Protection Service on an application received by the Council's Enforcement Officer to review the premises licence of Y Cwrt, 62 Tegid Street, Bala. This application was made by the licensing authority acting as the responsible authority under the Licensing Act 2003 and it related to the licensing objectives, namely the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety and the prevention of public nuisance. She noted the reason for the review was that it was found that the premises was providing late night refreshments without a licence.

It was noted that the witness statements of the Licensing Enforcement Officers stated that in responding to complaints regarding noise and anti-social behaviour on Tegid Street it had been found that the premises was providing late night refreshments. The premises licence did not include the provision of late night refreshments.

Observations on the review were received by the police, local businesses and neighbouring residents. The police confirmed that they had received a number of complaints by neighbouring residents regarding the behaviour of customers and that people were leaving the premises after 04.00. The businesses claimed that they were suffering as a result of the noise and crime and disorder that existed on the High Street as the customers of Y Cwrt left the premises during the early hours of the morning. In addition, the residents complained about the noise and crime and disorder in Tegid Street during the early hours of the morning because people were drunk and other establishments in the town were selling alcohol late at night and that there was a need to reduce the opening hours of the Cwrt and others.

It was noted that the Licensing Enforcement Officer suggested reducing the alcohol retail hours of the premises and its opening hours to 02.00 on a daily basis.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i) The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- v) Members of the sub-committee were invited to ask questions of the applicant.
- vi) The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations.
- vii) Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee.
- v. The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

-

The Licensing Enforcement Officer noted that it was noticed that customers were leaving the premises with hot food after 23.00 and that it was not part of the licence.

The local residents who were present took the opportunity to endorse the observations noted by letter and specifically referred to the following points –

- There were problems with noise outside the premises and on the street that had an impact on their amenities especially between 01.00 and 04.00.

In response to the above, the licensee noted the following observations –

- He did not accept that the noise in the High Street stemmed from his shop as there were other licensable premises in the town.
- That reducing the hours would certainly have an impact on the business' future.
- They needed to open late in order to earn a living.

Mr Ian Williams, the Police Licensing Co-ordinator noted that following a meeting of the sub-committee in November 2012 a meeting had been held with the licensee at the premises in order to reach a compromise on the application. He had been offered until 02.00 to hold licensable activities with the premises to close at 02.30 but he had not been happy with these times and was keen to adhere to the current hours. Not many incidents had been noted by the police directly against the premises. Since November 2012 four phone calls had been received in connection with the premises – two phone calls from the premises itself and the other two from neighbouring residents. During the meeting with the licensee, it had been confirmed that not many incidents had taken place in the premises but that there were problems as customers left the premises. There was a need to ensure that customers left the premises quietly and to respect the needs of local residents. The need for door supervisors was also discussed as the licensee closed the doors of the premises relatively early in order to control those who entered and left the premises. The licensee was not happy with the suggestion to have door supervisors and the police did not request this as a condition. However, if the licensee managed the access into the premises, he needed to be registered with the SIA. The licensee added that he wished to monitor the situation for a period of six months and that the police were welcome to look at the premises' CCTV at any time in order to confirm whether or not the complaints were associated with the premises. Following a discussion with the Police Inspector, the police suggested that the premises should be permitted to hold licensable activities until 02.00 which complied with other similar premises in the town, with the premises to close to the public at 02.30. He noted that the licensee had demonstrated in the past, according to the evidence already received, that he could not adhere to the hours, consequently the police would be very concerned if this were to continue in the premises. He referred to the fact that the licensee was a person who helped the police, and had done so on many occasions in the past, and these recommendations were not personal matters against the licensee.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

The members were of the opinion that the application for review should be refused as sufficient evidence had not been submitted to be able to reduce the hours and therefore the hours would remain the same. In addition, there was not enough evidence to prove that noise was emanating directly from the premises and there was no link between late night refreshments

and reducing the hours. There had been a breach of conditions because late night refreshments had been sold at the premises without consent and it could be the subject of a further review or criminal proceedings. In light of this, the licensee should be asked to submit an application to include the provision of late night refreshments as an addition to the licence. If he was going to control the access to the premises, he should be registered by the SIA.

**RESOLVED**

- a) To refuse the application for a review.**
- b) To suggest to the licensee that he should submit an application to include the provision of late night refreshments as an addition to the licence.**
- c) That the licensee should obtain a SIA certificate if he is going to control the access to the premises.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

The meeting commenced at 11.00am and concluded at 1.30pm.

---

## CENTRAL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE, 27.03.13

---

**Present:** Councillor Peter Read (Chairman)  
Councillors John Brynmor Hughes and Angela Russell

**Also present:** Siôn Huws (Compliance and Language Manager), Gwenan M. Williams (Licensing Manager) and Gwyn Parry Williams (Members' Support and Scrutiny Officer).

### 1. APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE – BACH WEN FARM, CLYNNOG FAWR, CAERNARFON

#### Others invited to the Meeting:

**Representing Bach Wen Farm, Clynnog Fawr, Caernarfon:** Mr John Clifford and Ms Helen Plaumer

**Representing the Police:** Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police)

**Representing the objectors:** Mrs Marian E. Roberts, Mrs Martha E. Jones, Mr R.J. Owen and Mr Huw J. Jones

**Local Member:** Councillor Owain Williams

The Licensing Manager's report was presented, detailing the application on behalf of Bach Wen Farm, Clynnog Fawr, Caernarfon, to sell alcohol between 10.00 and 01.00, seven days a week. An application was also made for recorded music, dance performances and to sell late night refreshments up to 01.00. A request was made for the right to show films and perform plays and live music until 23.00. She noted that live music was subject to the exemptions submitted in the Live Music Act 2012. This meant that live music was not a licensed activity in a licensed premises or workplace up to 11pm for an audience of fewer than 200 people. A request was made for the right to hold licensed activities, with the exemption of the sale of alcohol, inside and outside of the premises for the hours noted. A request was also made for the right to open the premises to the public between 10am and 1.30, seven days a week.

She noted that the police had discussed the application with the applicant before its submission, and as the application was in accordance with those discussions, there was no objection to it.

It was reported that following the appropriate consultation period, no comments had been received from Clynnog Community Council. No objection had been received from the police and the Fire and Rescue Service with their observations. Observations relating to noise conditions had been received from the Council's Public Protection Officer. Objections had been received to the application from some nearby residents and on behalf of Canolfan Hanes Uwchgwyrfai and Ebenezer Chapel, Clynnog Fawr. Specifically, in relation to the four licensing objectives, reference was made to the potential of crime and disorder in the context of the policing level in a small, rural community. A number of concerns had been expressed regarding the safety of children and people on the access road which was also a public footpath down to the beach, and the potential noise nuisance to nearby residents in the community. There was also concern that the application was not in keeping with the nature and culture of the area, but these considerations, although important considerations within communities, were not relevant to the licensing objectives.

The officer apologised to the local member for sending him a letter to his previous address, as had been unaware that he had moved to another property. Due to this, he had not received the letter, and therefore had not had an opportunity to submit written observations on the application.

Due to this, the relevant parties were willing for the local member to present his comments verbally.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i. The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the applicant
- iii. The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations
- iv. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee
- v. The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

In support of the application, the applicant noted the following observations –

- He had taken time in the preparation of the application, in consultation with the Police, the Fire and Rescue Service and the Council's Public Protection Department in order to ensure that the details were accurate and sustainable.
- It was not his intention to open the premises as a club or public house.
- There was no such existing provision in Clynnog following the closure of the Beuno Hotel.
- The provision offered would include social evenings involving the community, weddings etc.
- There was no intention to open the property until 01.00 every evening apart from when special events such as weddings etc. were held. In general, it was intended to close by 23.00 at the latest.
- Up to 35 people could be accommodated in the eight holiday cottages and the barn, and the requested permission was mainly intended for those people. There was no intention to open for the public in general, unless a local request was made to hold a particular event.
- In relation to the noise aspects, he had collaborated with an officer from the Council's Public Protection Department and had taken steps to insulate the barn, and that there were no windows in it apart from those at the front of the building facing the sea. The site was far from the village, with the main road nearby, and therefore there would be no noise likely to disturb the village residents.
- The type of music intended to be held there would mainly be folk music and (partial) acoustic guitar music.
- He would be willing to collaborate with the community and hold small Welsh activities there.
- He was the owner of the road leading to the site and it was possible for two cars to pass each other on the road.
- It was intended to install a CCTV system.



- A recent planning application had been approved to change the use of the barn to a holiday home.
- Local people were employed and he was eager to create up to three additional jobs there.
- Only Welsh beer would be sold there.
- There was no intention to spoil the tranquillity of the area.
- The age range of the visitors attracted to stay there would be over 35s.

The consultee was invited to support any observations submitted by letter and Ian Williams, Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police reported that a meeting had been held with the licensee to discuss the application and that the application submitted was in accordance with those discussions. In relation to the hours requested, there was no necessity for the property to be open during these hours. A discussion was held on the property's CCTV system, and assurance was also required regarding the protection of children from harm, and it was agreed to implement the challenge 21 policy. It was noted that children under 16 years old were not permitted in a licensed property between 00.00 and 06.00. The application offered flexibility, and there was no evidence to oppose it. However, if any problems arose from the property, evidence would be collected and the licence would be reviewed if necessary.

In response to a question from the Compliance and Language Officer on holding the licensed activities inside and outside the property, the licensee replied that events would only be held outside on special occasions, and gave assurance that music would not be played outside late at night. He was willing to monitor the noise levels to ensure that no disturbance was created, and the Public Protection Department agreed with this.

The local member noted that there were local concerns regarding this application, specifically regarding the noise, public nuisance and the requested hours. He was of the opinion that the daily permitted hours should be restricted to 23.00. He referred to the fact that the noise of waves could be heard from the church graveyard, and that music would certainly be heard from the site. Vehicles would be coming and going from the site late at night and would be likely to impact on the amenities of the village residents.

The objectors present took advantage of the opportunity to endorse the observations noted in letters and specifically referred to the following points –

- It would create noise and nuisance in such a quiet area.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

As this was a new application for the property, there was no existing evidence of any problems relating to holding licensed activities. In addition, the application had been discussed beforehand with the police, and noise conditions were also to be placed on the licence. While acknowledging the concerns of the local member and the objectors, members were of the opinion that there was no direct evidence relevant to the property that would justify refusing the licence that had been requested. It was therefore decided to approve the application with the following conditions:

**RESOLVED to approve a property licence for Bach Wen Farm, Clynnog Fawr, Caernarfon, as follows –**

- a) To permit the performance of plays (paragraph A) and the showing of films (paragraph b) between 10.00 and 23.00, Monday to Sunday.
- c) Permit recorded music (paragraph F) and dance performances (paragraph G) between 10.00 and 01.00, Monday to Sunday.
- ch) Permit the sale of late night refreshments (paragraph I) between 23.00 and 01.00, Monday to Sunday.
- d) Permit the sale of alcohol under paragraph J between 10.00 and 1.00, Monday to Sunday.
- dd) Permit the premises to be open to the public (paragraph L) between 10.00 and 01.30, Monday to Sunday.
- e) That the steps described in paragraph M of the application are to be included as conditions of the licence.
- dd) To impose the following conditions outlined by the Public Protection Officer –
- i) The internal LAeq 15min sound level and the LAeq 15min sound level for the 31.5, 63 and 125Hz frequency third octave band frequencies shall not be increased within residential properties (measured with windows at the dwellings open or closed) as a result of entertainment noise emitted from the licensed premises. For the purpose of this condition, LAeq is as defined in BS4142:1997.
- ii) To prevent noise or vibration emanating from the premises, doors and windows at the premises shall be kept closed during regulated entertainment.
- iii) Should Gwynedd Council obtain evidence following the publication of this licence that noise condition (i) is not being adhered to, the premises owner shall carry out the following –
- Undertake necessary noise insulation / abatement works to ensure that the property conforms to condition (i) and / or
  - Install a noise control device in the room(s) where entertainment is held. The device will be established so as to cut the electricity supply of any amplification system or to withstand an increase in the noise level above what has been established as the permitted maximum.
- iv) Noise limiting devices, once set, shall not be reset or adjusted without consultation with the Pollution Environmental Health Officer, Gwynedd Council.
- v) The disposal of waste bottles or cans into containers outside of the licensed building is prohibited between 22.00 and 08.00. Empty bottles shall be stored in a lidded skip / bin within the curtilage of the premises.
- vii) Clear and legible notices shall be displayed at exits requesting patrons to leave the premises having regard to local residents, in particular emphasising the need to refrain from shouting, slamming vehicle doors or sounding vehicle horns.
- vii) The premises' personal licence holder, designated premises supervisor and door supervisor shall monitor the activity of persons leaving the premises and remind them of their public responsibilities where necessary.

The Compliance and Language Manager reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, notifying the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of the right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

The meeting commenced at 11.00am and concluded at 12.10pm

---

## CENTRAL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE, 22.04.13

---

**Present:** Councillor Eryl Jones-Williams (Chairman)  
Councillors Ann Williams, Elfed Williams

**Also present:** Geraint Edwards (Solicitor), Gwenan M. Williams (Licensing Manager), Alun Evans (Public Protection Manager) and Gwyn Parry Williams (Member Support and Scrutiny Officer).

### **1. APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE – KAYA FESTIVAL, FAENOL ESTATE, BANGOR**

#### **Others invited to the Meeting:**

**On Behalf of Kaya Festival, Faenol Estate, Bangor:** Mr Luke Fitzmaurice (Applicant), Mr Dumiso Gambe and Mr Adam Isbell

**Representing the Police:** Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police) and Sergeant Bill Coppack

**On behalf of the Fire and Rescue Service:** Terry Williams

**Representing the objectors:** Mr Brian and Mrs Jenny Osborne

Submitted – report of the Licensing Manager, providing details of the application on behalf of the Kaya Festival, Faenol Estate, Bangor to hold the festival on the estate annually. The festival had already been held in 2012 using the licence of the Faenol estate at that time. As the festival's organisers intended to hold the festival annually at this location, a decision was made, following advice from the Licensing Authority, to apply for a premises licence specifically for the festival. The main aim of the festival was to introduce live global music to an audience of no more than 5,000 and it would be held this year on 24 May until the morning of 27 May. In addition, it was proposed to hold activities such as cabaret, comedy, oral presentations, performing arts, circus performances and to hold a fair. She provided details of the hours requested to hold licensable activities.

She noted that the Police had discussed the application with the applicant prior to its submission, and as the application was in accordance with those discussions, there was no objection to it.

It was reported that following the appropriate consultation period, no observations had been received from the local member or Pentir Community Council. The Police had no objection to the application. A letter had been received from neighbouring residents expressing concern that music noise from the festival would be likely to affect them in the same manner in which noise from other large events on the Faenol estate have affected them in the past. Concerns were also expressed regarding closing main entrance roads on the Faenol estate and directing pedestrians past dwellings, causing antisocial behaviour late at night. A positive response had been received from the Fire and Rescue Service following the circulation of a draft application which had been submitted to the relevant authorities. The applicant agreed to provide documents, including risk assessments, eight weeks prior to the event and this was a positive step forward to ensure there was an opportunity for a discussion regarding any problems in plenty of time before the start of the festival. The objection to the application by the Council's Public Protection Officer was on the grounds that the applicant had failed to demonstrate that

undermining the licensing objective of preventing public nuisance could be avoided. Should the application be approved, the officer's recommendation was to limit licensable activities to three days in any 12 months. In addition, the sub-committee was requested to include the noise conditions outlined in the Public Protection Officer's report if it was decided to approve the application. Observations had also been received on the draft application for a licence from Officers with regard to Health and Safety and Food Safety issues. The importance of ensuring that relevant documents were received from the organisers in plenty of time before the event was reiterated to ensure there would be an opportunity to resolve any problems that could arise.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i. The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the applicant
- iii. The licensee, or his representative, was invited to respond to the observations
- iv. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee
- v. The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

In support of the application, the applicant noted the following observations –

- The application was submitted to operate within the Faenol Estate as a result of the festival held last year.
- It was a comparatively small festival compared with other similar festivals – up to 5,000 people were permitted to attend.
- Specific fields had been allocated on the estate to hold the festival in order to try to comply with the principles of the Licensing Act.
- The festival was financially supported by the Welsh Government and Gwynedd Council and it was aimed mostly at families and there were cultural benefits stemming from the festival for the whole area.
- There were benefits for the festival to receive its own licence as it provided an opportunity for all relevant parties to submit observations on the application.
- Alcohol would be sold from an area which was far from the area designated for children. Children under 18 years old would not be permitted access unless accompanied by a parent or guardian.
- Camping would be permitted in family groups only to enable parents to keep an eye on children.
- Challenge 21 would be operational and would be monitored in the bar.
- The child protection policy would be operational.
- There were no records of noise problems as a consequence of last year's festival and it was not proposed to create any nuisance.
- It was proposed to hold live music until 00.00 and recorded music until 02.00.
- It was proposed to follow the noise management policy in terms of noise management and respond to any complaints.

The consultees were invited to support any observations submitted by letter and Sergeant Bill Coppack reported that the Radio 1 Festival that had been held on the estate had finished at

22.00. As this festival and other similar festivals which had been held on the estate had finished before midnight, it had affected greatly on towns such as Bangor and Caernarfon after this time as a substantial number of people had descended on the towns and the Police did not have the capacity to deal with any problems. He was of the opinion that this festival should be held until 02.00 as it would be a means of keeping people on the site later and avoid them having to go to clubs etc afterwards in Bangor and Caernarfon. He noted that the recommendation of the Public Protection Manager was that live and recorded music should finish at 23.00 but he objected to that.

The Public Protection Manager informed the sub-committee that discussions had been held with the organisers of the festival and they had amended the application somewhat, namely to hold the festival once a year for a period of not more than five consecutive days. He noted that the applicant had submitted a noise management policy which proposed that -

- a) The music level near the noise control desk would not exceed 98 dB (A) over a period of 15 minutes.
- b) There would be no live entertainment in the main tent after midnight or after 1:00am on smaller stages.
- c) Noise levels after midnight would be at least 3dB (A) lower than previously.
- ch) Levels near the main desk on the main stage had to be monitored and recorded every 30 minutes and at regular intervals near the smaller stages on the site.

The officer referred to the Noise Council's document, namely the "Code of Practice on Environmental Noise at Concerts" which stated that the noise of music at concerts between 9.00 and 23.00 should not be above specific levels, considering the number of concert dates on the site in a year and the nature of the location. Any measurement to establish levels would be done 1 metre away from any property that was sensitive to noise. Background noise would be considered as the mathematical average of the  $L_{A90}$ , 1 hour parameter, measured during the last four hours that were applied for the event. The document also stated that no music noise should be heard from concerts between 23:00 and 9:00 in properties that are sensitive to noise with their windows opened as usual for property ventilation purposes. Guidance was also given that the noise measuring pressure dB(A) did not always protect from low frequency noise nuisance that could be generated by amplified musical entertainment.

In relation to the likely noise impact assessment, the officer noted that the applicant intended to install three stages with noise systems installed on them and with all three generally facing the Menai Straits. From the information provided, it was possible to roughly estimate the possible noise levels of entertainment from two stages near neighbouring dwellings. For noise calculation purposes, it was considered that two main noise systems playing at the same time would create a general noise level of 101dB(A) locally near the stages. The impact of any obstruction was not considered (for some properties outside the Faenol) or the possible impact of pointing the speakers of the noise systems towards a specific location when undertaking the calculation.

It was noted that Faenol Events Ltd, were licensed premises holders already on the Faenol site and that licence permitted the use of the site for live and recorded music between 11.00 and 02.00, Monday to Sunday during the year. It has been shown, from the information received, that the application under consideration, as it stood, could not comply with the Noise Council's recommendations because of the requirement for permission to hold five days of entertainment. Prior to 23:00, noise levels would exceed what would be considered acceptable in a number of properties on the estate, namely 15dB(A) above the area's background noise levels over a period of 15 minutes. After 23:00, the noise of the entertainment (in accordance with the levels calculated) would be likely to be heard clearly in a large number of neighbouring dwellings with opened windows for ventilation purposes. Another concern was that the noise levels during evening hours 23:00 – 02:00 would exceed what was considered acceptable by the World Health Organisation in bedrooms of nearby houses with opened windows for ventilation

purposes. It was possible that the noise level of the entertainment would exceed 30dB(A) in a number of houses on the site and surrounding the site.

The officer recommended that the application should be refused because the applicant could not show that it was possible for the proposal to satisfy one of the main aims of the licensing system, namely to prevent public nuisance. If the sub-committee was unwilling to accept this recommendation, then live and recorded entertainment should be held over three days in any period of 12 months, between 9.00 and 23.00 daily. Also, should the recommendation be refused, he asked for the noise conditions to be included on the licence.

In response to the above comments, the applicant informed the sub-committee that a draft version of the application had been submitted for the attention of relevant parties in February 2013 and that application had been for every day of the year. A response had been received from the Public Protection Service, recommending that the number of days proposed to be used to hold the festival should be noted specifically and five consecutive days had been agreed. It was confirmed that the length of the festival would be three days rather than five days. However, during the discussions the service did not mention that the festival should finish at 23.00.

The Public Protection Manager informed the sub-committee further that the observations were based on the Noise Council's document which referred to sites in the countryside where there was one noise level for events up to three days but with further restrictions on noise levels for events lasting over five days. He anticipated that there would be noise problems in the area should the festival be permitted to continue after 23.00.

Mr Terry Williams, Fire and Rescue Service reported that the applicant had prepared documents, including risk assessments, eight weeks prior to the commencement of the event. He acknowledged that there had been a minor misunderstanding regarding the requirements of putting up tents or anything temporary on the site. It was important that the festival's organisers complied with the fire regulations.

The applicant referred to the fact that it was his intention to work together with the Fire and Rescue Service and a safety management plan would be submitted via the ESAG process.

The objectors present took advantage of the opportunity to endorse the observations noted in letters and specifically referred to the following points –

- They did not receive information regarding the various activities held on the estate and the observations in their letter did not refer specifically to this festival.
- They were reasonably satisfied with the proposed noise conditions.

The applicant further informed the sub-committee that finishing the festival at 23.00 would be likely to create a financial loss and finishing the festival at 02.00 would be more acceptable. He was hopeful of fostering a good relationship with the Fire and Rescue Service.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

As this was a new application for the festival, there was no existing evidence of any problems relating to holding licensed activities. In addition, the application had been discussed beforehand with the Police and they had no objections to it. As so few objections had been

received to the application, members were of the opinion that there was no direct evidence relevant to the festival that would justify refusing the licence that had been requested. It was therefore decided to approve the application with the following conditions:

**RESOLVED**

- i) To approve a premises licence for Kaya Festival, Faenol Estate, Bangor as follows –
- a) To permit showing of films (paragraph B) between 00.00 and 23.59, Monday to Sunday.
  - b) To permit live music (paragraph E), recorded music (paragraph F), performances of dance (paragraph G), any activity of a similar nature to those which fall within paragraphs E, F or G (paragraph H), provision of facilities for making music (paragraph I), provision of facilities for dancing (paragraph J) and facilities for entertainment of a similar description to that falling within paragraphs I or J, (paragraph K) between 9.00 and 02.00, Monday to Sunday.
  - c) To permit the sale of late night refreshments (paragraph L) between 23.00 and 04.00, Monday to Sunday.
  - ch) To permit the sale of alcohol (paragraph M) between 11.00 and 2.00, Monday to Sunday.
  - d) Permit the premises to be open to the public (paragraph O) between 00.00 and 23.59, Monday to Sunday.
  - dd) That the steps described in paragraph P of the application are to be included as conditions of the licence.
- (ii) To note the observations of the Public Protection Manager but to include the noise conditions submitted by the applicant in the Noise Management Plan.
- (iii) The applicant should be encouraged to work together closely with the Fire and Rescue Service.

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

**2. APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE – POWIS HALL, BANGOR UNIVERSITY**

**Others invited to the Meeting:**

**On behalf of Powis Hall, Bangor University:** Mrs Cheryl Wright (Applicant) and Mr Ashley James

**Representing the Police:** Mr Ian Williams (Licensing Co-ordinator, North Wales Police) and Sergeant Bill Coppack

**On behalf of the Fire and Rescue Service:** Mr Terry Williams

Submitted – the report of the Licensing Manager, providing details of the application on behalf of Powis Hall, Powis Corridor, PJ Hall, the Inner and Outer Quadrant, and the Main Arts Building on College Road, Bangor. The purpose of submitting the application was to secure an extension of the hours of standard licensable activities which existed on the current licence for the locations noted for the Summer Ball which was held once a year during May/June. It was requested to extend the hours for licensable activities until 05.00 for the Summer Ball and it was intended to only play music inside the buildings after 04.00. It was also requested that late night refreshments were provided between 23.00 and 04.00.

She noted that the Police had discussed the application with the applicant prior to its submission, and as the application was in accordance with those discussions, there was no objection to it.

It was reported that following the appropriate consultation period, that no comments had been received from Bangor City Council or residents of the adjoining houses. The Police had no objection to the application. Observations had been received from the Fire and Rescue Service. A recommendation was made by them in terms of the maximum number of people permitted in every location included in the application. A recommendation was also made regarding fire safety guidelines for entertainment tents, as well as a recommendation with regard to keeping doors open during periods of licensable activities. Observations had been received from the Public Protection Officer recommending including conditions on the licence, should the application be approved, regarding the prevention of noise nuisance and nuisance emanating from lights.

In considering the application, the following procedure was followed:-

- i. The applicant was invited to expand on the application
- ii. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the applicant
- iii. The licensee, or his representative, were invited to respond to the observations
- iv. Members of the Sub-committee were given an opportunity to ask questions of the licensee
- v. The applicant and licensee, or his representative, were given an opportunity to summarise their case.

In support of the application, the applicant noted the following observations –

- The application for a licence was submitted in order to secure the inclusion of all the above locations within the same licence rather than having several different licences.
- Discussions had been held with all the relevant authorities prior to submitting the application.
- Different activities would be held in PJ Hall and Powis Hall during the year and details were submitted regarding some of them.
- The application was specifically for holding the annual Summer Ball and there was a demand for it to be held until 05.00.

The consultees were invited to support any observations submitted by letter and Mr Terry Williams, Fire and Rescue Service, reported that he had no objection to the application. He noted that the maximum number of people permitted to use these locations had already been agreed with the applicant. In response to a question from a member, the officer informed the sub-committee that there was no need for the doors to remain open.

The Public Protection Manager informed the sub-committee that he had no objection to the application but it was recommended that the five conditions contained in his report regarding noise and lighting are imposed on the licence in order to safeguard the interests of local residents. With regard to the doors, there were no concerns regarding the internal doors except for doors opening out of the building.



Mr Ian Williams, the Police Licensing Co-ordinator, reported that fairly regular discussions were held with the university because several different licences existed there and this application had stemmed from one of those meetings. In terms of the Summer Ball, it had been included in the licence since 2005 and it had been agreed at that time that the sale of alcohol would be permitted until 05.00 but music would be played within the buildings only after 04.00. He noted that the application was in accordance with the discussions and, therefore, there was no objection to the application.

The applicant noted further that he was unwilling to accept the condition involving noise level as there had been no problems in the past. In response, the Public Protection Manager confirmed that there had been no complaints from local residents regarding the Summer Ball or other entertainment emanating from this building. He noted that the reason for imposing this condition was to safeguard the interests of local residents should the type of entertainment in the university change in future. It was a relatively common condition which specified that entertainment from the university should not create an unreasonable noise level in nearby residential houses.

The relevant parties left the meeting and the application was discussed by the members of the Sub-committee, who considered all the evidence submitted and specifically addressed the principles of the act, namely –

- Prevention of Crime and Disorder
- Public Safety
- Prevention of Public Nuisance
- Protection of Children from Harm

No evidence existed of any problems with holding licensable activities in the premises in the past. In addition, the application had been discussed with the Police beforehand and there was no objection to the application. Members were of the opinion that there was no direct evidence relating to the premises that could justify refusing the licence requested. Therefore, it was decided to approve the application with the conditions noted below:

**RESOLVED to approve the premises licence for Powis Hall, Powis Corridor, PJ Hall, Inner and Outer Quadrant, and the Main Arts Building on College Road, Bangor University LL57 2DG.**

**a) To permit the performance of plays (paragraph A), showing films (paragraph B), performance of live music (paragraph E), playing of recorded music (paragraph F), performance of dance (paragraph G), provision of facilities for making music (paragraph I), provision of facilities for dancing (paragraph J), the supply of alcohol (paragraph M) and opening and closing hours for the public (paragraph O) between 9.00 and 05.00 for the Summer Ball.**

**a) To permit the sale of late night refreshments (paragraph L) between 23.00 and 04.00 for the Summer Ball.**

**c) That the steps described in paragraph P of the application are to be included as conditions of the licence.**

The Solicitor reported that he would aim to send a letter within five working days, informing the applicants of the Sub-committee's decision, and informing them of their right to appeal against the decision within 21 days of receiving that letter.

The meeting commenced at 11.00am and concluded at 1.10pm

<b>COMMITTEE:</b>	<b>CENTRAL LICENSING COMMITTEE</b>
<b>DATE:</b>	<b>24 JUNE 2013</b>
<b>TITLE:</b>	<b>PROPOSED FEES AND CHARGES 2013/14 – PUBLIC PROTECTION DEPARTMENT</b>
<b>PURPOSE:</b>	<b>FOR DECISION</b>
<b>AUTHOR:</b>	<b>HEAD OF REGULATORY DEPARTMENT</b>

The proposed fees and charges for the Public Protection Service for 2013/14 which require this Committee's approval can be seen in the appendix to this report.

### **SUMMARY AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGES**

It can be seen from the appended table of proposed fees and charges, that many fees remain unchanged. These fees are statutory, therefore there is no local control over the levy of these fees. Statutory fees include fees relevant to the Gambling Act 2005 and the Licensing Act 2003. Some proposed fees are subject to small increases in line with inflation.

The only fees subject to significant changes are

- Fees for registering a person and premises for tattooing, body piercing, electrolysis and acupuncture
- Fees for Sex establishments
- Fees for markets and fairs

#### **Tattooing, body piercing, electrolysis and acupuncture**

These activities are controlled in accordance with the provisions of Part V111 of The Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982, section 14 and 15. The Act allows Local Authorities to charge "such reasonable fees as they may determine" to register persons and business premises for the purpose of these activities.

The primary objective of registration is to protect the health of the public, and avoid transmission of infectious diseases; and the fees set for this purpose must not be prohibitive. Previously the same fee has been charged for registration of premises and persons. Officers have taken the decision, based on experience that the charge per person is prohibitive, and discourages new persons operating at a business premises from registering.

**It is therefore proposed to levy an increase on the fee for a certificate of registration of premises from £76 to a £100; and to decrease the fee for registration of person from £76 to £35.**

#### **Sex establishments**

Sex establishments (sex shops) are licensed by virtue of Part 11, Schedule 3, and section 6 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982. Many Local Authorities in the past have set relatively high fees for the administration of the grant, renewal or transfer of these fees.

Currently, the fees for sex establishments are as follows in Gwynedd –

	FEE 2012/13
NEW APPLICATION	£2,972
RENEWAL	£1,486
TRANSFER	£1,486

An assessment of the costs of processing these licences indicate that the fees charged are in excess of costs incurred by the service. Section 19 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions ) Act 1982 states that Local Authorities may determine a fee which is reasonable.

A recent ruling in a high profile case brought against Westminster City Council by the proprietors of 7 sex shops means that Local Authorities cannot continue to charge in excess of the actual cost of processing the licences for such establishments.

**It is therefore proposed to decrease the fees payable for application, renewal and transfer of licence in accordance with the actual costs incurred by the council as follows –**

	PROPOSED FEE 2013/14
NEW APPLICATION	£268.07
RENEWAL	£268.07
TRANSFER	£268.07

### **Markets and fairs**

Market and fairs have historically been located in some areas of Gwynedd and it is important that these markets and fairs are sustained , and not prohibited by high fees and charges.

However, costs to the council in respect of safe cash collection, refuse arrangements and market supervision duties are increasing.

**It is therefore proposed to levy an increase of 10% on all licensed and casual stalls , and fair stalls .**

**A reduced fee has been introduced for fees paid annually electronically or direct bank payment, as costs incurred by the Council for processing such payments are considerably less.**

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Committee is requested to consider and approve the fees proposed by the Head of Regulatory Department.

		2012-13			2013-14			
Categori	Category	Ffi/Pris Eithrio TAW / Fee/Charge excluding VAT	TAW / VAT @ 20%	Ffi/Pris yn cynnwys TAW lle'n berthnasol / Fee/Charge including VAT where applicable	Ffi/Pris Eithrio TAW / Fee/Charge excluding VAT	TAW / VAT @ 20%	Ffi/Pris yn cynnwys TAW lle'n berthnasol / Fee/Charge including VAT where applicable	Cynnydd/ Increase
Siop Anwes	Pet Shop	£85.00	£0.00	£85.00	£86.49	£0.00	£86.49	1.8%
Canolfan Marchogaeth (fesul ceffyl a ffi milfeddyg)	Riding Establishment (per horse and vet fees)							
Fesul ceffyl a ffi milfeddyg yn ychwanegol	Per horse - vet fees additional	£105.00	£0.00	£105.00	£106.84	£0.00	£106.84	1.8%
Canolfan Magwraeth - ffi milfeddyg yn ychwanegol ar gyfer ymweliad	Breeding Establishment - vet fees additional for first inspection	£86.00	£0.00	£86.00	£87.51	£0.00	£87.51	1.7%
Canolfan Lletya Anifeiliaid	Animal Boarding Establishment	£86.00	£0.00	£86.00	£87.51	£0.00	£87.51	1.7%
Anifeiliaid Perfformio	Performing Animals	£91.00	£0.00	£91.00	£92.59	£0.00	£92.59	1.8%
Anifeiliaid Gwyllt Peryglus	Dangerous Wild Animals	£150.00	£0.00	£150.00	£152.63	£0.00	£152.63	1.8%
Sw (£128 + ffi milfeddyg)	Zoo (vet fees additional)	£168.00	£0.00	£168.00	£170.94	£0.00	£170.94	1.8%
Pigo'r Croen, Tatw, Electrolysis ac Aciwbigio - fesul eiddo	Body Piercing, Tatw, Electrolysis and Acupuncture - per premises	£76.00	£0.00	£76.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	31.6%
Pigo'r Croen, Tatw, Electrolysis ac Aciwbigio - fesul person	Body Piercing, Tatw, Electrolysis and Acupuncture - per person	£76.00	£0.00	£76.00	£35.00	£0.00	£35.00	-53.9%
Ildiad Gwirfoddol Bwyd	Voluntary Surrender of Food	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	£50.54	£0.00	£50.54	1.1%
Allforio Bwyd	Food Export							
Tystysgrif Allforio Bwyd	Food Export Certificate	£40.00	£0.00	£40.00	£40.43	£0.00	£40.43	1.1%
Datganiadau Ffeithiol	Factual Statements	£36.00	£0.00	£36.00	£36.00	£0.00	£36.00	0.0%
Trwydded Gweithredwyr Arbed Moduron	Motor Salvage Operators Licence	£64.00	£12.80	£76.80	£65.06	£13.01	£78.07	1.7%
Cofrestru Deliw'r Metel Sgrap	Scrap Metal Dealers Registration	Dim Ffi / No Fee			Dim Ffi / No Fee			
Deddf Gamblo 2005	Gambling Act 2005							
Trwydded Eiddo - Ffi Cais	Premises Licence - Application Fee							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	0.0%
Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£8,000.00	£0.00	£8,000.00	£8,000.00	£0.00	£8,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£3,500.00	£0.00	£3,500.00	£3,500.00	£0.00	£3,500.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£2,500.00	£0.00	£2,500.00	£2,500.00	£0.00	£2,500.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£3,000.00	£0.00	£3,000.00	£3,000.00	£0.00	£3,000.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - Ffi Blynnyddol	Premises Licence - Annual Fee							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	0.0%
Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£5,000.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	£5,000.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£750.00	£0.00	£750.00	£750.00	£0.00	£750.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£600.00	£0.00	£600.00	£600.00	£0.00	£600.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - Amrywio Trwydded	Premises Licence - Vary Licence							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£7,500.00	£0.00	£7,500.00	£7,500.00	£0.00	£7,500.00	0.0%
Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£5,000.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	£5,000.00	£0.00	£5,000.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£4,000.00	£0.00	£4,000.00	£4,000.00	£0.00	£4,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£1,750.00	£0.00	£1,750.00	£1,750.00	£0.00	£1,750.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£1,250.00	£0.00	£1,250.00	£1,250.00	£0.00	£1,250.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£0.00	£1,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£1,500.00	£0.00	£1,500.00	£1,500.00	£0.00	£1,500.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - Trosglwyddo Trwydded	Premises Licence - Transfer a Licence							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£6,500.00	£0.00	£6,500.00	£6,500.00	£0.00	£6,500.00	0.0%

Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£2,150.00	£0.00	£2,150.00	£2,150.00	£0.00	£2,150.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£1,800.00	£0.00	£1,800.00	£1,800.00	£0.00	£1,800.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - Adfer Trwydded	Premises Licence - Reinstatement of a Licence							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£6,500.00	£0.00	£6,500.00	£6,500.00	£0.00	£6,500.00	0.0%
Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£2,150.00	£0.00	£2,150.00	£2,150.00	£0.00	£2,150.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£1,800.00	£0.00	£1,800.00	£1,800.00	£0.00	£1,800.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	£950.00	£0.00	£950.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	£1,200.00	£0.00	£1,200.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - Datganiad Darpariaethol	Premises Licence - Provisional Statement							
Casino Rhanbarthol	Regional Casino	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00	£15,000.00	0.0%
Casino Mawr	Large Casino	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	£10,000.00	£0.00	£10,000.00	0.0%
Casino Bach	Small Casino	£8,000.00	£0.00	£8,000.00	£8,000.00	£0.00	£8,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Bingo	Bingo Premises	£3,500.00	£0.00	£3,500.00	£3,500.00	£0.00	£3,500.00	0.0%
Canolfan Hapchwarae I oedolion	Adult Gaming Centre	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	0.0%
Trac Rasio	Race Track	£2,500.00	£0.00	£2,500.00	£2,500.00	£0.00	£2,500.00	0.0%
Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol	Family Entertainment Centre	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	£2,000.00	£0.00	£2,000.00	0.0%
Eiddo Betio (ac eithrio traciau)	Betting Premises (excluding tracks)	£3,000.00	£0.00	£3,000.00	£3,000.00	£0.00	£3,000.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo - pob math	Premises Licence - all types							
Newid mewn amgylchiadau	Change of circumstances	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	0.0%
Copi o'r Trwydded	Copy of licence	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Hysbysiad Defnydd Dros Dro	Temporary Use Notice	£470.00	£0.00	£470.00	£470.00	£0.00	£470.00	0.0%
Copi o'r Hysbysiad	Copy of Notice	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Hysbysiad Defnydd Achlysurol	Occasional Use Notice	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0.0%
<b>Hawlenni</b>	<b>Permits</b>							
Hawlen Peiriant Hapchwarae mewn Eiddo Trwyddedig	Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permit							
Ffi Cais	Application Fee	£150.00	£0.00	£150.00	£150.00	£0.00	£150.00	0.0%
Wyro	Variation	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Ffi Blynnyddol	Annual Fee	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	0.0%
Trosgwyddo	Transfer	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Newid enw	Change of name	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Copi o'r hawlen	Copy of permit	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	0.0%
Hysbysiad Defnydd yr Hawl Awtomatig (hyd at 2 beiriant)	Notification of Use of Automatic Entitlement (up to 2 machines)	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	0.0%
Hawlen Hapchwarae Clwb neu Peiriannau Hapchwarae mewn Clwb	Club Gaming or Club Machine Permit							
Ffi Cais	Application Fee	£200.00	£0.00	£200.00	£200.00	£0.00	£200.00	0.0%
Ffi Cais (deiliad presenol)	Application Fee (existing holder)	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Ffi Cais (Tystysgrif Eiddo Clwb)	Application Fee (Club Premises Certificate)	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Adnewddu	Renewal	£200.00	£0.00	£200.00	£200.00	£0.00	£200.00	0.0%
Adnewddu (Tystysgrif Eiddo Clwb)	Renewal (Club Premises Certificate)	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Wyro	Variation	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Ffi Blynnyddol	Annual Fee	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	£50.00	£0.00	£50.00	0.0%
Copi o'r hawlen	Copy of permit	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	0.0%
Hawlen Canolfan Adloniant Teuluol 10 mlynedd	Family Entertainment Centre Gaming Machine Permit							
Ffi Cais	Application Fee	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	0.0%
Adnewyddu (pob 10 mlynedd)	Renewal (every 10 years)	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	0.0%
Newid enw	Change of name	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Copi o'r hawlen	Copy of permit	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	0.0%
Hawlen Hapchwarae gyda gwobrau	Prize Gaming Permits							

Ffi Cais	Application Fee	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	0.0%
Ffi Cais	Application Fee (existing operator)	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Adnewyddu	Renewal	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	£300.00	£0.00	£300.00	0.0%
Newid enw	Change of name	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	£25.00	£0.00	£25.00	0.0%
Copi o'r hawlen	Copy of permit	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	£15.00	£0.00	£15.00	0.0%
<b>Cofrestru Lotriau Cymdeithasau Bychan</b>	<b>Small Society Lottery Registration</b>							
Caniatau	Grant	£40.00	£0.00	£40.00	£40.00	£0.00	£40.00	0.0%
Ffi Blynnyddol	Annual Fee	£20.00	£0.00	£20.00	£20.00	£0.00	£20.00	0.0%
<b>Siopau Rhyw</b>	<b>Sex Shop</b>							
Adnewyddu Cais Siop rhyw	Sex Cinema/Shop Renewal	£1,486.00	£0.00	£1,486.00	£286.07	£0.00	£286.07	-80.75%
Ffi Cais Gwreiddiol	Initial Application Fee	£2,972.00	£0.00	£2,972.00	£286.07	£0.00	£286.07	-90.37%
Trosglwyddiad	Transfer	£1,486.00	£0.00	£1,486.00	£286.07	£0.00	£286.07	-80.75%
<b>Marchnadoedd</b>	<b>Markets</b>							
Stondin Trwyddedig (ar gael ond lle talir mewn rhandaliadau Blaen y stondin (blynnyddol, bob 30cm neu rhan ohono)	Licensed Stall (available only when paid by installments in advance) Stall frontage (per annum per 30cm or part thereof)				£28.60	£0.00	£28.60	
Stondin Trwyddedig - Taliad wythnosol	Licensed Stall - weekly payment							
Blaen y stondin (wythnosol, bob 30cm neu rhan ohono) Mehefin -	Stall frontage (per week per 30cm or part thereof) June - August	£1.00	£0.00	£1.00	£1.10	£0.00	£1.10	10.0%
Blaen y stondin (wythnosol, bob 30cm neu rhan ohono) Medi-Mai	Stall frontage (per week per 30cm or part thereof) September - May	£0.50	£0.00	£0.50	£0.55	£0.00	£0.55	10.0%
Stondin achlysurol - bob ymweliad.	Casual Stall - per attendance							
Blaen y stondin (bob 30cm neu rhan ohono) Mehefin - Awst	Stall frontage (per 30cm or part thereof) June - August	£3.00	£0.00	£3.00	£3.30	£0.00	£3.30	10.0%
Blaen y stondin (bob 30cm neu rhan ohono) Medi - Mai	Stall frontage (per 30cm or part thereof) September - May	£1.50	£0.00	£1.50	£1.65	£0.00	£1.65	10.0%
Ffeiriau	Fairs							
Stondin (hyd at 3 medr)	Stall (up to 3 metres)	£5.00			£5.50	£0.00	£5.50	10.0%
Blaen y Stondin (bob medr ychwanegol neu rhan ohono)	Stall frontage (per additional metre or part thereof)	£5.00			£5.50	£0.00	£5.50	10.0%
<b>Deddf Trwyddedu 2003</b>	<b>Licensing Act 2003</b>							
Trwydded Eiddo neu Tystysgrif Clwb - Ffi Cais	Premises Licence or Club Certificate - Application Fee							
Band A	Band A	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Band B	Band B	£190.00	£0.00	£190.00	£190.00	£0.00	£190.00	0.0%
Band C	Band C	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	0.0%
Band D	Band D	£450.00	£0.00	£450.00	£450.00	£0.00	£450.00	0.0%
Band E	Band E	£635.00	£0.00	£635.00	£635.00	£0.00	£635.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo neu Tystysgrif Clwb - Ffi Blynnyddol	Premises Licence or Club Certificate - Annual Fee							
Band A	Band A	£70.00	£0.00	£70.00	£70.00	£0.00	£70.00	0.0%
Band B	Band B	£180.00	£0.00	£180.00	£180.00	£0.00	£180.00	0.0%
Band C	Band C	£295.00	£0.00	£295.00	£295.00	£0.00	£295.00	0.0%
Band D	Band D	£320.00	£0.00	£320.00	£320.00	£0.00	£320.00	0.0%
Band E	Band E	£350.00	£0.00	£350.00	£350.00	£0.00	£350.00	0.0%
Newid Enw neu Cyfeiriad Dalwr y Trwydded	Change of Name or Address of Licence Holder	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Amrywio unigolyn a enwir fel Gorchwilwr Eiddo	Vary licence as to individual named as Premises Supervisor	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Trosglwyddo Trwydded Eiddo	Transfer of Premises Licence	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Rhybudd o Ddiddordeb	Notification of Interest	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	0.0%
Rhybudd Digwyddiad dros dro	Temporary Event Notice	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	0.0%
Trwydded Personol (10 mlynedd)	Personal Licence (10 years)	£37.00	£0.00	£37.00	£37.00	£0.00	£37.00	0.0%
<b>Deddf Trwyddedu 2003</b>	<b>Licensing Act 2003</b>							
Trwydded Eiddo neu Tystysgrif Clwb - Ffi Cais neu Amrywiad	Premises Licence or Club Certificate - Application Fee or Variation							
Band A	Band A	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	£100.00	£0.00	£100.00	0.0%
Band B	Band B	£190.00	£0.00	£190.00	£190.00	£0.00	£190.00	0.0%
Band C	Band C	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	0.0%
Band D	Band D	£450.00	£0.00	£450.00	£450.00	£0.00	£450.00	0.0%
Band E	Band E	£635.00	£0.00	£635.00	£635.00	£0.00	£635.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo neu Tystysgrif Clwb - Ffi Blynnyddol	Premises Licence or Club Certificate - Annual Fee							
Band A	Band A	£70.00	£0.00	£70.00	£70.00	£0.00	£70.00	0.0%
Band B	Band B	£180.00	£0.00	£180.00	£180.00	£0.00	£180.00	0.0%
Band C	Band C	£295.00	£0.00	£295.00	£295.00	£0.00	£295.00	0.0%
Band D	Band D	£320.00	£0.00	£320.00	£320.00	£0.00	£320.00	0.0%

Band E	Band E	£350.00	£0.00	£350.00	£350.00	£0.00	£350.00	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo neu Tystysgrif Clwb - Amrywiad	Premises Licence or Club Certificate			£0.00			£0.00	
Amrywiad Mân	Minor Variation	£89.00	£0.00	£89.00	£89.00	£0.00	£89.00	0.0%
Dwyn, colled ayyb o'r Trwydded/Tystysgrif neu Crynodeb	Theft, loss etc of Licence/Certificate or Summary	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Trwydded Eiddo	Premises Licence							
Datganiad Darpariaethol	Provisional Statement	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	£315.00	£0.00	£315.00	0.0%
Trosglwyddo Trwydded Eiddo	Transfer of Premises Licence	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Amrywio trwydded eiddo i nodi unigolyn fel Gorichwiliwr Eiddo	Vary premises licence to specify individual named as Designated Premises	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Hysbysiad Awdurdod Dros Dro	Interim Authority Notice	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Amrywio Trwydded Eiddo mewn eiddo Cymunedol i gynnwys amod	Vary Premises licence at Community Premises to include alternative licence	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	£23.00	£0.00	£23.00	0.0%
Rhybudd o Ddiddordeb	Notification of Interest	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	0.0%
Newid Enw neu Cyfeiriad Daliwr y Trwydded Eiddo	Change of Name or Address of Premises Licence Holder	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Tystysgrif Clwb	Club Certificate							
Newid enw neu newid rheolau clwb	Change of name or alteration of club rules	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Newid cyfeiriad perthnasol cofrestredig clwb	Change of relevant registered address of club	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Rhybudd Digwyddiad dros dro	Temporary Event Notice	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	£21.00	£0.00	£21.00	0.0%
Dwyn, colled ayyb o'r Rhybudd Digwyddiad Dros Dro	Theft, loss etc of a Temporary Event Notice	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Trwydded Personol (10 mlynedd) - Ffi Cais ac Adnewyddu	Personal Licence (10 years) - Application and Renewal Fee	£37.00	£0.00	£37.00	£37.00	£0.00	£37.00	0.0%
Hysbysiad o newid enw neu cyfeiriad	Notification of change of name or address	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%
Dwyn, colled ayyb o Drwydded Personol	Theft, loss etc of Personal Licence	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	£10.50	£0.00	£10.50	0.0%